

Best Practice in Suicide Risk Assessment and Crisis Response Planning: Current standards for all levels of care

Jessica R. Umbrell, Psy.D.

Licensed Psychologist

Certified School Psychologist

Lead Psychologist Advisor, PerformCare, Pennsylvania

PerformCARE[®]

Delivering
High-Quality
Service and Support

- Review need to know quick facts as a group
- Epidemiology
- What PerformCare has been doing to improve the care management of Members with chronic suicidal thinking
- Standards of Care Guidelines
- What is not effective and no longer a standard of care in working with clients with suicidal thinking
- Best practices in risk assessment
- Formulating crisis response plans
- Demonstrate analysis of risk and protective factors for crisis plan development through case examples

Agree or Disagree?

- The goal of mental health workers working with clients with suicidal thinking is to work to completely eradicate suicide
- A client with suicidal thinking is most likely to complete suicide when they have just been discharged from inpatient care
- Adolescents have the highest rates of suicide
- Suicide rates are increasing
- In order to increase assurance that client's will not complete suicide and decrease liability, it is important for a clinician to develop a "no suicide contract" with a client who has suicidal thinking
- Using Assessment tools that identify a variable (or number), quantifying risk, is the best way to predict if a client will complete suicide

- Does not discriminate amongst any age, gender, race, or socioeconomic group
- Estimated 9.3 million will have suicidal thoughts in one year (SAMHSA, 2013)
- Suicide is still the 10th leading cause of death in the US
- Life Expectancy in the US has declined according 2019 CDC data, seeing a decrease from 2016-2017 due to suicide a drug overdose
- A death by suicide occurs every 11 minutes
- Increases in suicide has been seen in all age groups
- Suicide is the 3rd leading cause of death among 15.-24 year olds
- Males (white males highest) complete suicide at higher rates than females
- 90 % of those who complete suicide have a mental illness
- Suicide rates are highest among those 45-54
- Older adults 65+ are a particularly vulnerable group

- Pennsylvania
 - 11th leading cause of death for PA
 - One person dies by suicide every 4 hours
 - In 2017, 2023 people died by suicide
 - Suicide deaths surpass alcohol related motor vehicles accidents
 - Rates amongst age and gender mirror national statistics
- PerformCare Members Reviewed by Quality of Care Counsel and Critical Incident Report Data
 - 4 completions in both 2018 and so far in 2019 varies across all levels of care
 - 30 attempts in 2018 and 19 so far in 2019 varies across all levels of care
 - In 2018, we reviewed more attempts for child and adolescent levels of care
 - In 2019, this evened out, but we have seen overall a decrease in attempts so far this year

- MCO vantage point
 - The opportunity to review cases for suicide attempts and completions across all levels of care
 - Observation has been of slow movement toward current Best Practice Standards amongst all levels of care
 - Not an uncommon phenomenon when a standard of care in any clinical area changes
- Action Steps
 - All Clinical Care Managers and Psychologist Advisors have been trained on current Best Practice Standards
 - Involved training and case presentation and practice of learned skills
 - Increase follow-up and review (i.e. more intensive care management) for Members with high risk
 - Involves review of risk assessment and crisis planning for Members
 - Increased PA review of high risk cases and recommended treatment team follow-up
 - External collaboration with counties and providers began in 2015/Multisystemic approach

- There are four known Clinical Practice Guidelines related to managing suicide risk
 - American Psychiatric Association Guidelines
 - American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
 - SPRC Assessment and Management of Suicide Risk
 - AAS Recognizing and Responding to Suicide Risk (RRSR)
 - Professionals who completed (RRSR): Essential Skills for Clinicians training demonstrated:
 - long-term improvement in attitudes toward suicide
 - confidence in their ability to work with clients who are at risk for suicide and clinical practice skills (Osteen et. al. 2012)

- In all cases of clinical practice guidelines “No Suicide Contracts” are either cautioned or advised against
 - There has been no evidence that these contracts have been effective in the prevention of suicide
 - These are not legal documents and do not stand up in court as a viable standard to managing a client’s risk of suicide completion
 - A randomized controlled study of 97 Army soldiers who presented to mental health concerns confirmed showed that:
 - Crisis Response planning was more effective than utilizing safety contracts by way of:
 - Preventing suicide attempts
 - Eliminating suicidal ideation
 - Reducing inpatient hospitalizations
- (Bryan et al. 2017)

- See handout for discussion (Suicide Prevention Resource Center 2006; Rudd, M.D., Cukrowicz, K.C., & Bryan, C.J. 2008)
- Core competencies include more than just knowledge of risk and protective factors
- Core competencies include more than developing a strong crisis response plan
- Core competencies are a set of clinical skills that are needed by both the clinician and their supervisor to include:
 - Attitude and approach to working with the client
 - Understanding suicidal thinking
 - Collecting assessment information
 - Formulating risk
 - Develop a treatment plan
 - Managing Care
 - Understanding legal and regulatory issues

- Can be learned and implemented in any type of treatment approach
- Brief Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Intervention has been systematically studied and found to be more effective than non- systematic approaches
- In a study of 152 war veterans with psychiatric symptoms, suicidal thinking, and intent to die
 - Soldiers were 60% less likely to make an attempt after a the CBT interventions than those with only treatment as usual
 - Treatment gains were maintained after 24 month follow-up
(Rudd et al. 2015)

- Involves understanding the full range of risk factors associated with suicide attempts and completions
- Identification of those that can be controlled and those that cannot
- Discussion of Risk Factors
- See handout (American Psychiatric Association, 2003)
- Use of rating scales/assessment tools
 - Can be helpful in an overall formulation of risk factors
 - Should not be used solely for risk formulation
 - Show little predictive validity in quantifying risk
 - A meta-analysis of 21 studies evaluating the ability of 15 instruments in predicting suicide risk found no instrument to be effective in quantifying risk (Runeson et al. 2017)
 - Helpful for clinical discussion and interview with client
 - Best practice is to formulate a full assessment of risk factors for the client and to collaboratively develop a crisis response plan that addresses risk that can be controlled
 - There are suggested ways to ask clients about risk, which elicit a thorough response
 - Discussion of these from (American Psychiatric Association 2003)

- Maintaining a strong therapeutic alliance is essential in managing risk and developing effective crisis response plans
- First step in managing risk is to consider controllable risk factors and attend to immediate safety
- Determine the most least restrictive level of care based on the presentation of risk factors
- Collaborate with all parties involved in the client's care to ensure safety and incorporate natural supports into crisis response plans
- Attend to environmental safety regardless of treatment setting
- Collaboratively develop a systematic plan for the client to use in the event of increased suicide thinking
- Crisis Response plans will vary by treatment setting and can change frequently depending on the presentation of risk factors

- Crisis Response plans should outline all risk factors identified as controllable with the client
- They should be formulated with the client based on a thorough identification of risk protective factors
- A written plan which identifies all possible protective factors, natural supports, and steps to be taken for each identified risk variable should be developed with the client
- Fostering hope is a critical component in development of protective factors and development of crisis response plans
- Each step in the plan should be assessed with the client for the likelihood of being able to execute the skill during times of heightened stress and active ideation
- Plans should be rehearsed with clients and changed if they are not able to be executed or the step does not feel “doable” for the client

- Plans should be rehearsed with clients and changed if they are not able to be executed or the step does not feel “doable” for the client
- In the event of a crisis or suicide attempt crisis management plans should be reviewed for continued effectiveness and changed accordingly
 - This should be documented
- Assess risk frequently
 - Suicidal thinking is fluid which warrants frequent assessment and review of crisis response steps
 - Wish to live has been found to mediate this ebb and flow in suicidal thinking (Bryan et al. 2016)
 - Speaks to hopefulness and the importance of identifying factors to live for
 - Fluid Vulnerability theory
 - High risk states of ideation vary frequently and are due to a complex interaction between affective, physiological, cognitive and environmental factors (Bryan et. al 2019)

Kevin Hines Story

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WcSUs9iZv-g>

- For each case presented
 - Identify all possible risk factors to consider
 - Identify those which can be controlled and those that cannot
 - Identify all protective factors for the case
 - Using risk and protective factors, identify those that should ideally be addressed in the treatment and crisis response plan

Questions?

T

PerformCARE[®]

Care is the
heart of
our work.

PerformCARE[®]

Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. (2001). Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with suicidal behavior. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 40*, 24S–50S.

American Psychiatric Association. (2003). *Practice guidelines for the assessment and treatment of patients with suicidal behaviors*. Arlington,VA: Author.

Bryan, C.J., Mintz, J., Ciemans, T.A., Leeson, B., Burch, T.S., Williams, S.R., Maney, E. & Rudd, M.D. (2017). Effects of crisis response planning vs. Contracts for safety on suicide risk in U.S. Army Soldiers: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 212*, 64-72

Bryan, C.J., Rozek, D.C., Butner, J, & Rudd, M.D. (2019). Patterns of Change in suicidal ideation signal the recurrence of attempts among high-risk psychiatric outpatients. *Behavior Research and Therapy, 120*, 1-6

Bryan, C.J., Rudd, M.D., Petersen, A.L., Young-McGaughan, S., Wertenberger, E.G. (2016). The ebb and flow of the wish to live and wish to die among suicidal military personnel. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 202 (15)*, 58-66

Center for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019)

J. M., Osteen, P., Jones, A., & Berman, A. (2012). Evaluation of the recognizing and responding to suicide risk training. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behavior, 42(5)*, 471-85

Pennsylvania Department of Health. (2019).

Rudd, M.D., Bryan, C.J., Wertenberger, E.G. Peterson, A.L., Young- McCaughan, S., Mintz, J., Williams, S.R., Arne, K.A., Breitbach, J. Delano, K., Wilkinson, E. & Bruce, T.O. (2015). Brief cognitive behavioral therapy effects on post-treatment suicide attempts in a military sample: Results of a randomized clinical trial with 2-year- follow-up. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 172(5), 441-449

Rudd, M.D., Cukrowicz, K.C., & Bryan, C.J. (2008). Core competencies in suicide risk assessment and management: Implications for supervision. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 2 (4), 219-228

Runeson B, Odeberg J, Pettersson A, Edbom T, Jildevik Adamsson I, Waern M (2017) Instruments for the assessment of suicide risk: A systematic review evaluating the certainty of the evidence. *PLoS ONE* 12(7).

Suicide Prevention Resource Center. (2006). *Core competencies in the assessment and management of suicidality*. Newton, MA: Author.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services : Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. (2013). *Results from the 2013 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Mental Health Findings*